FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 21 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

REYNA GOMEZ MONTIEL; et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-71783

Agency Nos. A97-349-762 A97-349-938

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 17, 2006**

Before: B. FLETCHER, HAWKINS and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted with respect to Reyna Gomez Montiel because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*,

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, the petition for review with respect to Reyna Gomez Monteil is denied.

We further conclude that petitioner Araceli Lagunas Gomez has failed to raise a colorable constitutional claim to invoke our jurisdiction over this petition for review. *See Torres-Aguilar v. INS*, 246 F.3d 1267, 1271 (9th Cir. 2001).

Accordingly, respondent's motion to dismiss this petition for review for lack of jurisdiction as to Araceli Lagunas Gomez is granted. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003); *Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect for both petitioners until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED IN PART AND DISMISSED IN PART.